LEGAL LINGO

Turning Associates Into Part Owners

An equity stake in a properly structured S corporation rewards loyalty and builds leaders.

BY PETER H. TANELLA, ESQ, AND JASON E. MARX, ESQ

As veterinary hospitals grow in size, sophistication, and geographic footprint, practice owners increasingly focus on retention, succession planning, and long-term value creation. One of the most common and complex questions that arises in this context is whether, when, and how to offer associate veterinarians an opportunity to acquire equity in the business.

Across the industry, many veterinary practices operate as professional entities under S corporation tax status. This structure offers meaningful advantages, including pass-through taxation and operational efficiency, but it also imposes a rigid framework shaping ownership, compensation, and incentive arrangements.

Equity participation is a powerful tool for aligning incentives and developing future leaders. However, it must be approached deliberately to avoid unintended legal or tax consequences.

istockphoto.com/invincible_bulldog

Understanding the Structural Framework

Rules regarding professional entity vary by state, and some jurisdictions impose ownership or licensure requirements that affect who may hold equity in a veterinary practice. What is consistent nationwide is the federal tax framework governing S corporations. Aside from being restricted by the number and type of shareholders, an S corp may have only one class of stock. All outstanding shares must confer identical economic rights to distributions and liquidation proceeds. Any arrangement, whether explicit or implicit, that gives certain shareholders preferential economic rights can jeopardize the S election.

In addition, all items involving income, loss, deduction, and credit must be allocated based on stock ownership and calculated on a per-share, per-day basis. While many practices track performance by doctor, location, or department for management purposes, those internal metrics cannot override the fundamental tax rules that govern ownership economics.

All these constraints do not prohibit associate ownership, but they significantly influence the design of equity and incentive structures.

When Equity Makes Sense

Ownership is not simply a tool for awarding enhanced compensation. Rather, it carries governance rights, fiduciary obligations, tax exposures, and long-term financial risk. For the right associate veterinarian who demonstrates leadership ability, cultural alignment, and a genuine interest in the practice's business side, equity can deepen the commitment and align long-term incentives. For the wrong associate, equity can introduce misaligned expectations, internal tension, and future buyout challenges.

Practice owners should begin by asking, "What problem will equity solve?" If the goal is short-term employee retention or increased productivity, compensation-based incentives might be more effective. If the goal is leadership development, succession planning, or long-term value alignment, equity or an equity-like alternative may be appropriate.

In many practices, a phased approach is the most effective strategy. Associate veterinarians can first participate in non-equity incentive programs that offer a clearly articulated pathway to ownership once both sides demonstrate readiness.

Direct Stock Purchases

The most straightforward method of equity participation is a direct stock purchase. Under this model, an associate purchases shares from the corporation or existing shareholders, typically at fair market value. The associate becomes a true shareholder, participates in governance, and receives income and distributions strictly in proportion to their ownership.

From a tax perspective, selling shareholders generally recognize a capital gain, while the purchasing associate receives tax basis in the acquired shares. However, direct purchases raise practical considerations. The valuation must be defensible and periodically updated. Funding must be addressed, whether through personal capital, third-party financing, or installment arrangements.

Equally important, shareholder agreements must be updated to address transfer restrictions, buy-sell provisions, valuation methodologies, and dispute resolution mechanisms. Direct ownership is best suited for senior associates who are committed to the practice long term and prepared to think and act like owners.

Restricted Stock Grants

Some practices offer restricted stock grants to reward high-performing associates without requiring an immediate cash investment. Under this approach, shares are granted as compensation and vest over time or upon achievement of defined milestones.

While appealing in concept, restricted stock grants must be carefully structured within an S corporation. The shares must carry identical economic rights to all other outstanding shares, and vesting arrangements cannot create preferential economics. In addition, associates may be subject to ordinary income taxation at vesting unless a Section 83(b) election is made, which accelerates taxation to the grant date.

PETER H. TANELLA
The Legal Lingo columnist chairs Mandelbaum Barrett's National Veterinary Law Group. He has advised hundreds of veterinarians on practice acquisitions,sales, mergers, partnerships, joint ventures and associate buy-ins, the structuring of management service organizations, and the development of practice succession strategies. Email him at ptanella@mblawfirm.com.

JASON E. MARX
The Legal Lingo guest columnist is a partner at Mandelbaum Barrett PC and an adviser in the firm's tax, trusts, and estates practice groups.

From the practice's perspective, stock-based compensation may be deductible. Still, the administrative complexity and potential tax consequences often make this approach less common compared with other incentive structures.

Profit-Sharing and Bonus Arrangements

Not every associate veterinarian is ready for or interested in equity ownership. Therefore, profit-sharing and bonus arrangements are widely used alternatives. Under these models, associates receive additional compensation tied to profitability, productivity, or other performance metrics. These payments are treated as wages, are deductible to the practice, and do not affect ownership or governance.

The primary limitation is that profit-sharing does not build long-term equity value for the associate. For some doctors without ownership aspirations, that option is sufficient.

Phantom Equity

Phantom equity has become increasingly popular in professional practices where ownership transitions are sensitive or highly regulated. Phantom equity plans grant associates contractual rights to economic benefits that mirror equity value without conveying actual ownership or governance rights.

Phantom units may be tied to practice valuation growth, profitability benchmarks, or liquidity events, and they typically pay out in cash upon defined triggers. Because no shares are issued, phantom equity avoids the ownership and governance complications associated with traditional equity. However, payouts are generally taxed as ordinary income rather than capital gains.

Avoiding the Profit-Center Trap

One common structural mistake in multidoctor or multilocation S corps is attempting to align an owner's overall economic return exclusively with the profitability of a particular location or profit center. While internal profit-center accounting is appropriate for management purposes, tying a shareholder's total economics (wages plus distributions) to a specific division can violate the single-class-of-stock rule.

The tax law permits compensation to vary based on role, productivity, and responsibility. What it does not permit is allocating corporate income in a way that mirrors internal divisions rather than stock ownership. When compensation or distribution patterns effectively give certain shareholders superior rights to certain profit streams, the arrangement may be recharacterized as creating multiple classes of stock.

Strong governance documentation should support any equity or incentive arrangement. Regular legal and tax reviews are essential as practices grow, add locations, or admit new stakeholders. TVB